4th NMR Meets Biology Meeting

Introduction to NMR

Konstantin Ivanov (Novosibirsk, Russia)

Khajuraho, India, 16-21 December 2018

Outline

- Angular moment (spin) and magnetic moment;
- Magnetic resonance phenomenon;
- Bloch equations;
- NMR pulses;
- FID, Fourier transform, 1D-NMR, 2D-NMR;
- T₁ and T₂ relaxation.

Angular momentum and magnetic moment

- In NMR we deal with spin magnetism. What is '**spin**'?
- Charged nucleus (or electron) is spinning: there is angular momentum (spin) and magnetic moment attention: this is a simple view, which is not (entirely) correct

The electric current for a charged $I = \frac{qv}{2\pi r}$ qThe magnetic moving around $\vec{\mu} = \frac{IS}{c} \vec{n} = \frac{qvr}{2c} \vec{n} = \frac{q}{2mc} \vec{J}$ So, μ is proportional to *a.m.*; when *a.m.* is measured in \hbar units $\vec{\mu} = \frac{q\hbar}{2mc} \vec{S}$

Quantum mechanics: this is not entirely correct (we are wrong by the g-factor)!

$$\vec{\mu}_{e} = g_{e} \mu_{B} \vec{S}, \quad \mu_{B} = -\frac{e\hbar}{2m_{e}c}, \quad g_{e} = 2\left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} + ...\right) \approx 2.0023 \quad \text{(QED result)}$$
$$\vec{\mu}_{N} = g_{N} \mu_{N} \vec{I}, \quad \mu_{N} = \frac{e\hbar}{2Mc}, \quad g_{N} \neq 1 \quad (g_{p} \approx 5.58, \ g_{n} = -\frac{2}{3}g_{p} \approx 3.83, \text{ QCD result)} \quad 3$$

Angular momentum and magnetic moment

- Furthermore, QM tells us that S is quantized: angular moment measured in \hbar units cannot be an arbitrary number
- Stern-Gerlach experiment:
- ✓ The beam of particles is deflected by inhomogeneous field
- ✓ Reason: intrinsic magnetic moment (spin) of particles
- ✓ In contrast to the classical expectation the distribution of the μ -vector is not continuous!
- \checkmark Spin is quantized



IM FEBRUAR 1922 WURDE IN DIESEM GEBAUDE DES PHYSIKALISCHEN VEREINS, FRANKFURT AM MAIN, VON OTTO STERN UND WALTHER GERLACH DIE FUNDAMENTALE ENTDECKUNG DER RAUMQUANTISIERUNG DER MAGNETISCHEN MOMENTE IN ATOMEN GEMACHT. AUF DEM STERN-GERLACH-EXPERIMENT BERUHEN WICHTIGE PHYSIKALISCH-TECHNISCHE ENTWICKLUNGEN DES 20. JHDTS., WIE KERNSPINRESONANZMETHODE, ATOMUHR ODER LASER. OTTO STERN WURDE 1943 FÜR DIESE ENTDECKUNG DER NOBELPREIS VERLIEHEN.

Angular momentum and magnetic moment

- Furthermore, QM tells us that S is quantized: angular moment measured in \hbar units cannot be an arbitrary number
- S is integer (0, 1, 2, ...) or half-integer (1/2, 3/2, 5/2, ...) and $|S| = \{S(S+1)\}^{1/2}$
- Projection of S onto any axis in space varies in steps of 1 from –S to S
 Spin-½ particle (¹H, ¹³C, ¹⁵N, ¹⁹F, ³¹P...): possible projections are ±1/2
 Spin-1 particle: possible projections are –1, 0, 1
- When discussing spin-½ particles we can use a simplification (and forget about QM): spin magnetization is a classical vector in the 3D-space, which is changing by moving in external fields and due to spin relaxation
- As we can see from the density matrix description, this is correct (but only for two-level systems!): fictitious spin description

- Motion of a classical magnetic moment in a constant magnetic field B_0
- Magnetic moment is $\vec{\mu}_N = g_N \mu_N \vec{J} = \gamma_N \vec{J}$ γ_N is the **gyromagnetic ratio** (or magnetogyric ratio)
- We obtain a torque: $\frac{d\vec{J}}{dt} = -[\vec{\mu} \times \vec{B}_0] = -\gamma_N [\vec{J} \times \vec{B}_0]$

$$\frac{dJ_x}{dt} = -\gamma_N B_0 J_y, \quad \frac{dJ_y}{dt} = \gamma_N B_0 J_x, \quad J_z = \text{const}$$

- These equations tell us that: $J_x \propto \cos(\omega_0 t)$, $J_y \propto \sin(\omega_0 t)$, $J_z = \text{const}$ |J|=const; the *J*-vector is rotating about B_0 at a frequency $\omega_0 = |\gamma_N| B_0$ This is **precession** of magnetic moment The direction is given by the γ_N sign
- What happens to the magnetic moment if we apply an oscillating field?
- Typically this field is much weaker than B_0 : it does nothing except for some special cases

 B_0'

torque μ, J

- Let us apply an oscillating field perpendicular to $B_0: B_\perp = 2B_1 \cos(\omega t)$
- To account for the effect of this field we can go to the rotating frame:



• Linear polarized field = 2 circularly polarized fields: two vectors rotating with the same speed in opposite directions



• At high ω the vector, which rotates with double frequency can be <u>neglected</u>. This is (usually) Ok for the description of resonance

- What happens to the transverse field: $2B_1 \cos \omega t = 2B_1(\cos \omega t, 0, 0)$
- Linear polarized field = 2 circularly polarized fields: two vectors rotating with the same speed in opposite directions

 $B_1(\cos\omega t, \sin\omega t, 0) + B_1(\cos(-\omega t), \sin(-\omega t), 0)$

• Graphical representation in both frames:



• At high ω the vector, which rotates with double frequency can be <u>neglected</u>. The other vector does not move. This is (usually) Ok for the description of resonance

• Equation of motion in the rotating frame

 $\vec{J} = J'_x \vec{i} + J'_y \vec{j} + J'_z \vec{k}$

• By taking derivative we obtain:

$$\frac{d\vec{J}}{dt} = \frac{dJ'_x}{dt}\vec{i} + \frac{dJ'_y}{dt}\vec{j} + \frac{dJ'_z}{dt}\vec{k} + + J'_x\frac{d\vec{i}}{dt} + J'_y\frac{d\vec{j}}{dt} + J'_z\frac{d\vec{k}}{dt} = \frac{\delta\vec{J}}{\delta t} + [\vec{\omega}\times\vec{J}]$$

Here the components of *J* change and the basis vectors $\{i, j, k\}$ also change with time

Here $\delta/\delta t$ is the time derivative in the rotating frame

• Equation of motion in the rotating frame

$$\frac{\delta J}{\delta t} = \frac{dJ}{dt} - [\vec{\omega} \times \vec{J}] = -\gamma_N [\vec{J} \times \vec{B}] + [\vec{J} \times \vec{\omega}] = -\gamma_N [\vec{J} \times \vec{B}_{eff}]$$

- Still the same equation but the new field equals to $\vec{B}_{eff} = \vec{B}_0 + \vec{\omega} / \gamma_N + \vec{B}_1$
- Superposition of the new B_0 and B_1 -fields gives a constant effective field B_{eff}

- The effective field is the following vector
- $\vec{B}_{eff} = (B_1, 0, (\omega \omega_0) / \gamma_N)$

• Precession frequency is

$$\Omega = \gamma_N B_{eff} = \sqrt{(\omega - \omega_0)^2 + \omega_1^2}$$



- If $\omega = \omega_0$ the precession axis is the x-axis => variation of J_z reaches its maximum. This variation rapidly decays with ω going to zero at $|\omega - \omega_0| >> \omega_1$
- Resonance condition: $\omega =$ (free precession frequency). Weak B₁ is important!
- Frequency range (given by γ_N): radio-frequency, i.e., 300 MHz for ¹H @ 7 Tesla
- Resonance width is given by condition $|\omega \omega_0| \approx \omega_1$. If $\omega_1 >> |\omega \omega_0|$ the effective field is nearly parallel to the *x*-axis we are at resonance!
- At resonance the precession frequency is ω_1 . If we switch on the resonant oscillating field for time period of τ_p the flip angle of magnetization is

$$\Theta = \omega_1 \tau_p = \gamma_N B_1 \tau_p$$

Magnetic resonance: simplified quantum viewpoint

• The energy of interaction of the spin with an external field is

$$\hat{H}_N = -(\vec{\mu} \cdot \vec{B}_0) = -\hbar \gamma_N (\vec{B}_0 \cdot \hat{I})$$

- If B_0 is parallel to the Z-axis $E = -\hbar \gamma_N B_0 I_z$
- The degeneracy of the spin levels is lifted

$$\underbrace{Absence \text{ of MF}}_{E = -|\gamma_N|B_0/2} |-1/2\rangle = |\beta\rangle \\ f'(t) = 2\hat{F}\cos\omega t = -2\gamma_N B_1 \hat{I}_x \cos\omega t \\ E = -|\gamma_N|B_0/2 \\ Presence \text{ of MF}} |1/2\rangle = |\alpha\rangle$$

• Fermi' golden rule tells us that there is a resonance when $\omega = \omega_0$ $P_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \left| \left\langle \alpha \left| \hat{F} \right| \beta \right\rangle \right|^2 \delta(E_{\alpha} - E_{\beta} - \hbar\omega) \propto B_1^2 \delta(\hbar\omega_0 - \hbar\omega)$

Macroscopic spin magnetization

- Up to now we discussed a single spin $\frac{1}{2}$, which is never the case in NMR
- At thermal equilibrium we have almost the same amount of spins pointing up and down: the energy gap between the spin levels is much smaller than kT



- We work with net magnetization of all spins; at equilibrium this is a vector parallel to B_0 (longitudinal magnetization)
- However, we do not measure the longitudinal component, but rotate M with RF pulses to obtain transverse magnetization and measure the signal from M_{\perp}

T₁ and T₂ relaxation

- Relaxation is a process, which brings a system to thermal equilibrium. Physical reason: fluctuating interaction of spins with molecular surrounding
- For spins this means that $M_z = M_{||} = M_{eq}$ and $M_{\perp} = 0$
- There are two processes, which are responsible for relaxation \checkmark Longitudinal, T₁, relaxation: M_{eq} is reached at $t \sim T_1$: $M_z = M_{eq} - (M_{eq} - M_0) \exp(-t/T_1)$
 - ✓ Transverse, T₂, relaxation: magnetization decays to zero at $t \sim T_2$:

$$M_{\perp} = M_0 \exp(-t/T_2)$$

- Generally, $T_1 \neq T_2$.
- Taking all that into account we can write down equations describing precession+relaxation

Bloch equations and FID

- We write down equations describing precession and add relaxation terms $\begin{cases}
 dM_x / dt = -(\omega_0 - \omega)M_y - M_x / T_2, \\
 dM_y / dt = (\omega_0 - \omega)M_x - \omega_1M_z - M_y / T_2, \\
 dM_z / dt = \omega_1M_y - (M_z - M_{eq}) / T_1
 \end{cases}$
- Now we can describe simplest NMR experiments. Example:
 M_z is flipped by 90 degrees by a resonant RF-pulse: φ=ω₁τ_p=π/2
 It starts rotating about the *z*-axis and decaying with T₂
 We detect M_y (or M_x) and collect the Free Induction Decay (FID)



14

How do we obtain the spectrum – by performing the Fourier transform $g(\omega) = \int_{0}^{0} f(t) \cos(\omega t) dt$ Fourier transform ω time domain f(t)frequency domain $g(\omega)$ Some examples $\delta(\omega - \omega_0)$ $\cos(\omega_0 t)$ δ -function, single freq. FT $L(\omega) = \frac{T_2}{1 + \omega^2 T_2^2}$ Lorentzian at zero freq. $\exp(-t/T_2)$ FT $L(\omega - \omega_0)$ Lorentzian at ω_0 freq. $M_0 \cos(\omega_0 t) \exp(-t/T_2)$ FT

How do we obtain the spectrum – by performing the Fourier transform ∞ $g(\omega) = \int_{0}^{0} f(t) \cos(\omega t) dt$ Fourier transform ω time domain f(t)frequency domain $g(\omega)$ Some examples $FID(t) = \int \cos(\omega t) g(\omega) d\omega$ $g(\omega)$ FT Inverse FT gives $g(\omega)$ $g(\omega)$ FID(t)IFT from the FID signal

Widths of $g(\omega)$ and FID(t) are inter-related: $\delta t \sim 1/\delta \omega$

• FT can be performed in a fast and efficient way (FFT algorithm) 16

- A few words about pulses
- RF-synthesizer produces a signal oscillating at the spectrometer reference frequency ω_{ref} :

 $S(t) \propto \cos\left(\omega_{ref}t + \psi(t)\right)$

The phase is time-dependent and can be precisely controlled

Gating: the signal passes through the transmitter only for certain periods of time => we obtain an RF-pulse



- Parameters of the pulse:
- frequency ω_{ref}
- ✓ strength B_1 and duration τ_p provide the flip angle $\varphi = |\gamma_N| B_1 \tau_p = \omega_1 \tau_p$
- ✓ phase: $\psi=0$ (x-pulse), $\psi=\pi/2$ (y-pulse), $\psi=\pi$ (-x-pulse), $\psi=3\pi/2$ (-y-pulse)

- A few words about FID detection
- We measure the signal comparing it to the reference frequency ω_{ref} (recording oscillations at ~100 MHz frequency would be a disaster)

$$M(t) \propto \cos(\omega_0 t) e^{-t/T_2} \quad \omega_0 \Rightarrow \Omega_0 = \omega_0 - \omega_{ref} \qquad M(t) \propto \cos(\Omega_0 t) e^{-t/T_2}$$

- Problem: no sensitivity to the sign of Ω_0 (ω_{ref} greater or smaller than ω_0)
- The solution is quadrature detection: the receiver provides a phase-shifted signal to obtain the information about the sign $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(t) = C_{n} \exp((O_{n} t)) e^{-t/T_{2}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(t) = C_{n} \exp((O_{n} t)) e^{-t/T_{2}}$

 $S_{A}(t) = C \cdot \cos(\Omega_{0}t)e^{-t/T_{2}}, \ S_{B}(t) = C \cdot \sin(\Omega_{0}t)e^{-t/T_{2}}$

• We introduce 'complex magnetization', which contains full information

$$S(t) = S_A(t) + i \cdot S_B(t) = C \cdot \exp(i\Omega_0 t - t / T_2)$$

- Fourier transformation yields $S(\Omega) = C \int_{\Omega}^{\infty} \exp(i\Omega_0 t t/T_2) e^{-i\Omega t} dt$
- Yet phasing is a problem

- Fourier transformation yields $S(\Omega) = C \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp(i\Omega_0 t t/T_2) e^{-i\Omega t} dt$
- Real and imaginary part of the signal (when *C* is real)

$$\operatorname{Re}\{S(\Omega)\} = L(\Omega - \Omega_{0}) = \frac{T_{2}}{1 + (\Omega - \Omega_{0})^{2} T_{2}^{2}}$$
$$\operatorname{Im}\{S(\Omega)\} = -D(\Omega - \Omega_{0}) = -\frac{(\Omega - \Omega_{0})T_{2}^{2}}{1 + (\Omega - \Omega_{0})^{2} T_{2}^{2}}$$
$$D(\Omega)$$

• Generally, $C=|C|e^{i\psi}$ is a complex number because of a phase shift of the pulser and receiver

 $\operatorname{Re}\{S(\Omega)\} \propto L(\Omega - \Omega_0) \cos \psi - D(\Omega - \Omega_0) \sin \psi$

By varying the phase we can obtain the Lorenzian or purely dispersive line The phase can be set and then kept the same

Λ

Back to relaxation

Before talking about 2D-NMR let us briefly discuss T_1 and T_2

- Origin of T_1 and T_2
- ✓ Relaxation and molecular motion
- ✓ Measurement of the relaxation times

T₁-relaxation

- T₁-relaxation: precession in the B_0 field and a small fluctuating field $B_f(t)$
- The precession cone is moving
- Eventually, the spin can even flip



- Spin flips up-to-down and down-to-up have slightly different probability (Bolztmann law!): M_z goes to $M_{eq} \neq 0$
- General expression for the transition rate

$$W = \frac{1}{2T_1} = \frac{1}{\hbar^2} \left| V \right|^2 J(\omega)$$

 $J(\omega) = \frac{2\tau_c}{1 + \omega^2 \tau_c^2}$

Noise spectral density at the transition frequency τ_c is the motional correlation time

T₂-relaxation

- T_2 -relaxation: kicks from the environment disturb the precession
- Different spins precess differently and transverse net magnetization is gone



• Generally the T₂-rate

$$\frac{1}{T_2} = \frac{1}{T_2^a} + \frac{1}{T_2^{na}} = \frac{1}{T_2^a} + \frac{1}{2T_1}$$

Two contributions: Adiabatic and non-adiabatic (T₁-related)

Noise spectral density

- Simple example: spins relaxed by fluctuating local fields, $\overline{B_f(t)}=0$
- However, the auto-correlation function is non-zero

- Typical assumption • $G(\tau) = \exp(-|\tau|/\tau_c)$ $\underbrace{\mathfrak{S}_{0.4}^{0.6}}_{0.4}$
- ✓ Exponential auto-correlation function ✓ τ_c comes into play

au

• Lorentzian-like noise spectral density

$$J(\omega) = 2\int_{0}^{\infty} G(t)e^{-i\omega t} dt = \frac{2\tau_c}{1+\omega^2\tau_c^2}$$



t

Expressions for T_1 and T_2

- Simple example: spins relaxed by fluctuating local fields, $\overline{B_f(t)}=0$
- The auto-correlation function is non-zero
- General expressions for T_1 and T_2



This dependence is explained by the $J(\omega)$ behavior



Inhomogeneous linewidth

- Problem: we need to discriminate two contributions to T_2
- Decay of the NMR signal also proceeds due to static inhomogeneities in the precession frequency ω_0 . This can be due to external field gradients and local static interactions.



 ω

• Resulting rate the signal decay

$$\frac{1}{T_2^*} = \frac{1}{T_2} + \Delta \approx \frac{1}{T_2^a} + \frac{1}{T_2^{na}} + \Delta \qquad \text{Reason: } \delta \omega \cdot \delta t \sim 2\pi$$

- The first two contributions are the same for all the molecules and thus define the homogeneous linewidth.
- The last contribution defines the inhomogeneous linewidth.
- In solids usually $T_2^* \ll T_2$

T₂-measurement: spin echo

- Large inhomogeneous linewidth means very fast dephasing of the spin
- However, dephased magnetization can be focused back by pulses



• Explanation: let us divide system into isochromates having the same frequency ω_0 . Their offsets are $\Delta \omega = \omega_0 - \omega$. At certain time they all have different phases



- But at $t=2\tau$ all have the same phase: there is an 'echo'!
- The spin echo signal decays with T₂

T₁-measurement: inversion-recovery

- Determination of T_1 is often quite important as well
- Standard method is inversion-recovery
- First we turn the spin(s) by pulse (usually $\pi/2$ or π) and then look how system goes back to equilibrium (recovers *z*-magnetization). If the pulse is a π -pulse magnetization will be inverted (maximal variation of m-n) and then recovered
- Equation for M_z is as follows:



- The kinetic trace (*t*-dependence) gives T_1 -time
- To detect magnetization at time *t* one more $\pi/2$ -pulse is applied, the sequence is then π_x *t* (variable) $\pi_x/2$ measurement
- Spin echo can be used for detection as well, the pulse sequence is then $\pi_x t$ (variable) $\pi_x/2 \tau \pi_x \tau$ measurement
- The sequence should be repeated with different delays *t*

1D-NMR

• 1D-NMR experiment (simplest case)

M~~

preparation - detection

• Why is it not enough?

For large proteins it is really hard to assign NMR signals and to obtain information from the spectra!

Too many peaks \Rightarrow Spectrum is a mess!



2D-NMR

• Idea: adding a second dimension for improved resolution



It is up to you how to design preparation and mixing: decide, what you want to know about your molecules!

2D-NMR spectrum of a protein



In 2D the peaks become resolved

Direct and indirect domain

Direct time domain (acquisition, t_2): FID detection **Indirect time domain** (evolution time, t_1 in 2D): FIDs are collected for different t_1 times



For simplicity here the frequency ω is the same in t_1 and in t_2

Cross-peaks and diagonal peaks

Simple example: SCOTCH experiment Spin COherence Transfer in (photo) CHemical reactions Reaction A \xrightarrow{hv} B with a proton at ω_A in A which resonates at ω_B in B.



frequency ω_A in t_1 . After the light pulse, the frequency changes to ω_B .

FT provides a 2D spectrum with a peak at ω_A in F₁ and ω_B in F₂

The **cross-peak** comes from $A \rightarrow B$ and $\omega_A \neq \omega_B$ When conversion $A \rightarrow B$ is incomplete the **diagonal peak** stays

Example: NOESY experiment



Cross-peaks come from NOE during the mixing period

SY = SpectroscopY

How does it work?

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \pi/2_x & t_1 & \pi/2_x & \text{NOE} & \pi/2_x \\ I_{1z} \Rightarrow -I_{1y} \Rightarrow -I_{1y} \Rightarrow -I_{1z} \Rightarrow -I_{2z} \Rightarrow I_{2y} \end{array}$$

Transverse magnetization has gone from spin 1 to spin 2 The efficiency of transfer is (simplified) $\sin(\omega_1 t_1) * \sin(\omega_2 t_2)$



A cross-peak will appear in the NOESY-spectrum The cross-peak gives information on NOE \Rightarrow distance between the spins The same method can be used to study chemical exchange (EXSY)

Summary

 NMR is working with magnetic moments of nuclei (originating from their spins)

 ✓ Simple theory (Bloch equations) allows one to understand basic experiments

✓ 1D & 2D NMR concepts are introduced