

1 Exercise: Relaxation Theory

We will try to investigate the behavior of spin relaxation as a function of various parameters for a simple system that we can analyze using numerical simulations in MATLAB (or any other matrix-calculation package). We assume an isolated heteronuclear ^{15}N - ^1H two-spin system attached to a rigid molecule that undergoes isotropic rotational tumbling with a correlation time τ_c . The spectral-density function for isotropic rotational tumbling is given by

$$J(\omega) = \frac{2}{5} \frac{\tau_c}{1 + (\omega\tau_c)^2}. \quad [1.1]$$

We will look at the relaxation properties of the nitrogen atom due to dipolar coupling to the directly bound proton as well as the axially symmetric CSA tensor of the ^{15}N nucleus. The anisotropy of the dipolar coupling is defined by

$$\delta^{(\text{IS})} = -2 \frac{\mu_0 \gamma_I \gamma_S \hbar}{4\pi r_{IS}^3} \quad [1.2]$$

and the magnitude of the axially symmetric chemical-shielding tensor is characterized by $\omega_0^{(S)} \sigma_{zz}^{(S)}$. The constants are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_0 &= 4\pi \cdot 10^{-7} \text{ N A}^{-2} \\ \hbar &= 1.05457 \cdot 10^{-34} \text{ J s} \\ \gamma_{\text{H}} &= 2.675 \cdot 10^8 \text{ (T s)}^{-1} \\ \gamma_{\text{N}} &= -2.716 \cdot 10^7 \text{ (T s)}^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad [1.3]$$

We will use this simple spin system to look at different relaxation-rate constants. If not specified differently, we assume a static magnetic field of 14.1 T and a distance of 1.1 Å.

1.1 T_1 Dependence on Magnetic Field and Correlation Time

The longitudinal one-spin auto-relaxation time of the S spin (^{15}N) is given by

$$\frac{1}{T_{1S}} = \Gamma_{S_z, S_z} = \left(\frac{\delta^{(IS)}}{4}\right)^2 [J(\omega_I - \omega_S) + 3J(\omega_S) + 6J(\omega_I + \omega_S)] + \frac{3}{4}(\omega_0^{(S)} \sigma_{zz}^{(S)})^2 J(\omega_S). \quad [1.4]$$

- Calculate T_{1S} as a function of the correlation time τ_c assuming that the chemical-shielding tensor is $\sigma_{zz}^{(S)} = 0$ ppm. A reasonable range for τ_c is from 10^{-12} to 10^{-5} s. Generate a double-logarithmic plot of T_{1S} vs. $\omega_0^{(S)} \tau_c$. Where do you observe a minimum of the T_{1S} time? Rationalize the position of the minimum by discussing the form of the spectral-density function.
- Calculate and plot T_{1S} for chemical-shielding tensor values of $\sigma_{zz}^{(S)} = 50$ ppm and 150 ppm. What do you observe?
- Calculate T_{1S} as a function of the static magnetic field B_0 between 1 and 30 T for correlation times τ_c of 10^{-12} , 10^{-10} , and 10^{-8} s. We assume that the chemical-shielding tensor is $\sigma_{zz}^{(S)} = 0$ ppm.

1.2 T_2 Dependence on Magnetic Field and Correlation Time

The transverse one-spin auto-relaxation time of the S spin (^{15}N) is given by

$$\frac{1}{T_{2S}} = \Gamma_{S^+, S^+} = \frac{(\delta^{(IS)})^2}{32} [4J(0) + J(\omega_I - \omega_S) + 3J(\omega_S) + 6J(\omega_I) + 6J(\omega_I + \omega_S)] + \frac{1}{2}(\omega_0^{(S)} \sigma_{zz}^{(S)})^2 J(0) + \frac{3}{8}(\omega_0^{(S)} \sigma_{zz}^{(S)})^2 J(\omega_S). \quad [1.5]$$

- Calculate T_{2S} as a function of the correlation time τ_c assuming that the chemical-shielding tensor is $\sigma_{zz}^{(S)} = 0$ ppm. Generate a double-logarithmic plot of T_{2S} vs. $\omega_0^{(S)} \tau_c$. Rationalize why the T_2 relaxation time shows no minimum but decreases continuously with increasing correlation time.
- Calculate and plot T_{2S} for chemical-shielding tensor values of $\sigma_{zz}^{(S)} = 50$ ppm and 150 ppm. What do you observe?
- Calculate T_{2S} as a function of the static magnetic field B_0 between 1 and 30 T for correlation times τ_c of 10^{-12} , 10^{-10} , and 10^{-8} s. We assume that the chemical-shielding tensor is $\sigma_{zz}^{(S)} = 0$ ppm.

If the longitudinal and the transverse relaxation time have been measured, one can determine the correlation time of the molecule as

$$\tau_c = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{1}{\omega_S^2} \left(6 \frac{T_1}{T_2} - 7 \right)}. \quad [1.6]$$

- Back calculate the correlation time from the T_1 and T_2 values calculated for the chemical-shielding tensor of $\sigma_{zz}^{(S)} = 0$ ppm. Plot the back calculated values against the input correlation times. In which range of correlation times is Equation [1.6] valid? Derive Equation [1.6] from the analytical Equations [1.4] and [1.5] for T_1 and T_2 .

1.3 Coupled Relaxation Modes

If we look at longitudinal relaxation in a heteronuclear two-spin system, we find that all three relaxation modes are coupled and can be described by a system of coupled differential equations.

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{bmatrix} \langle I_z \rangle(t) \\ \langle S_z \rangle(t) \\ \langle 2I_z S_z \rangle(t) \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma_{I_z, I_z} & \Gamma_{S_z, I_z} & \Gamma_{2I_z S_z, I_z} \\ \Gamma_{S_z, I_z} & \Gamma_{S_z, S_z} & \Gamma_{2I_z S_z, S_z} \\ \Gamma_{2I_z S_z, I_z} & \Gamma_{2I_z S_z, S_z} & \Gamma_{2I_z S_z, 2I_z S_z} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \langle I_z \rangle(t) - \langle I_z \rangle_{\text{eq}} \\ \langle S_z \rangle(t) - \langle S_z \rangle_{\text{eq}} \\ \langle 2I_z S_z \rangle(t) - \langle 2I_z S_z \rangle_{\text{eq}} \end{bmatrix} \quad [1.7]$$

If we neglect cross-correlated cross relaxation, the system simplifies into two sub blocks.

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{bmatrix} \langle I_z \rangle(t) \\ \langle S_z \rangle(t) \\ \langle 2I_z S_z \rangle(t) \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma_{I_z, I_z} & \Gamma_{S_z, I_z} & 0 \\ \Gamma_{S_z, I_z} & \Gamma_{S_z, S_z} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \Gamma_{2I_z S_z, 2I_z S_z} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \langle I_z \rangle(t) - \langle I_z \rangle_{\text{eq}} \\ \langle S_z \rangle(t) - \langle S_z \rangle_{\text{eq}} \\ \langle 2I_z S_z \rangle(t) - \langle 2I_z S_z \rangle_{\text{eq}} \end{bmatrix} \quad [1.8]$$

The four relevant relaxation-rate constants are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{I_z, I_z} &= \left(\frac{\delta^{(IS)}}{4}\right)^2 [J(\omega_I - \omega_S) + 3J(\omega_I) + 6J(\omega_I + \omega_S)] + \frac{3}{4}(\omega_0^{(I)} \sigma_{zz}^{(I)})^2 J(\omega_I) \\
\Gamma_{S_z, S_z} &= \left(\frac{\delta^{(IS)}}{4}\right)^2 [J(\omega_I - \omega_S) + 3J(\omega_S) + 6J(\omega_I + \omega_S)] + \frac{3}{4}(\omega_0^{(S)} \sigma_{zz}^{(S)})^2 J(\omega_S) \\
\Gamma_{2I_z S_z, 2I_z S_z} &= \left(\frac{\delta^{(IS)}}{4}\right)^2 [3J(\omega_I) + 3J(\omega_S)] + \frac{3}{4}(\omega_0^{(I)} \sigma_{zz}^{(I)})^2 J(\omega_I) + \frac{3}{4}(\omega_0^{(S)} \sigma_{zz}^{(S)})^2 J(\omega_S) \\
\Gamma_{S_z, I_z} &= \left(\frac{\delta^{(IS)}}{4}\right)^2 [-J(\omega_I - \omega_S) + 6J(\omega_I + \omega_S)]
\end{aligned} \tag{1.9}$$

- Calculate the time evolution of the S_z magnetization after a 180° inversion pulse, i.e., for an initial condition $\sigma_0 = -S_z$ by solving the differential equation [1.8] assuming isotropic rotational tumbling at correlation times of $\tau_c = 10^{-8}$ and 10^{-10} s assuming that the chemical-shielding tensor is $\sigma_{zz}^{(S)} = 0$ ppm. Compare the curve with a mono-exponential buildup towards thermal equilibrium with a time constant of T_{1S} . Discuss the results.
- If we saturate the protons by applying on-resonance cw irradiation on the I spin during the relaxation delay, the system of differential equations is modified. We can assume that $\langle I_z \rangle(t) = 0$. Calculate the time evolution of the S_z magnetization after a 180° inversion pulse under these conditions analytically. What is now the rate constant with which the system relaxes towards the steady-state equilibrium value.
- The same experiment, i.e., saturation of the I spin is the so-called steady-state NOE experiment. Calculate analytically the steady-state value of the NOE. What is the signal enhancement generated by the NOE? Calculate the signal enhancement numerically as a function of the correlation time assuming that the chemical-shielding tensor is $\sigma_{zz}^{(S)} = 0$ ppm.

2 Appendices

2.1 Matrix Properties

A real matrix A with elements A_{ij} is called *symmetric* if

$$A^T = A \quad [2.1]$$

where A^T is the transpose of the matrix, i.e., for all elements the condition $A_{ij} = A_{ji}$ is fulfilled. The real matrix A is called *antisymmetric* if

$$A^T = -A, \quad [2.2]$$

i.e., for all elements the condition $A_{ij} = -A_{ji}$ is fulfilled. The real matrix A is called *orthogonal* if

$$A^T = A^{-1}. \quad [2.3]$$

A complex matrix A with elements A_{ij} is called *hermitian* if

$$A^\dagger = A \quad [2.4]$$

where A^\dagger is the adjoint (transposed and complex conjugate) of the matrix, i.e., for all elements the condition $A_{ij} = A_{ji}^*$ is fulfilled. The complex matrix A is called *unitary* if

$$A^\dagger = A^{-1}. \quad [2.5]$$

The matrix representation of a Hamiltonian is always a Hermitian matrix. From this it follows that the matrix representation of a propagator is always a unitary matrix and, therefore, the propagation of a density operator is always a unitary transformation.

2.2 Wigner Rotation Matrix Elements

2.2.1 Rank 0 Elements

Rank 0 tensors are quantities that transform like a scalar. They have only a single component, which is independent of the coordinate system. Therefore, the Wigner rotation matrix element is given by

$$\mathcal{D}_{00}^0 = d_{00}^0(\beta) = 1 . \quad [2.6]$$

2.2.2 Rank 1 Elements

Rank 1 tensor are vectors and their respective reduced Wigner rotation elements $d_{m', m}^1(\beta)$ are given by

Table 2.1: Reduced Wigner Rotation Matrix Elements of Rank 1

$m' \backslash m$	-1	0	+1
-1	$\cos^2\left(\frac{\beta}{2}\right)$	$\frac{\sin \beta}{\sqrt{2}}$	$\sin^2\left(\frac{\beta}{2}\right)$
0	$\frac{-\sin \beta}{\sqrt{2}}$	$\cos \beta$	$\frac{\sin \beta}{\sqrt{2}}$
+1	$\sin^2\left(\frac{\beta}{2}\right)$	$\frac{-\sin \beta}{\sqrt{2}}$	$\cos^2\left(\frac{\beta}{2}\right)$

2.2.3 Rank 2 Elements

Rank 2 tensors are second-rank tensors and the reduced Wigner rotation matrix elements are given by $d_{m', m}^2(\beta)$

Table 2.3: Reduced Wigner Rotation Matrix Elements of Rank 2

$m' \backslash m$	-2	-1	0	+1	+2
-2	$\left(\frac{1 + \cos\beta}{2}\right)^2$	$\frac{1 + \cos\beta}{2} \sin\beta$	$\frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{8}} \sin^2\beta$	$\frac{1 - \cos\beta}{2} \sin\beta$	$\left(\frac{1 - \cos\beta}{2}\right)^2$
-1	$-\frac{1 + \cos\beta}{2} \sin\beta$	$\cos^2\beta - \frac{1 - \cos\beta}{2}$	$\frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{8}} \sin(2\beta)$	$\frac{1 + \cos\beta}{2} - \cos^2\beta$	$\frac{1 - \cos\beta}{2} \sin\beta$
0	$\frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{8}} \sin^2\beta$	$-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{8}} \sin(2\beta)$	$\frac{3\cos^2\beta - 1}{2}$	$\frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{8}} \sin(2\beta)$	$\frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{8}} \sin^2\beta$
+1	$-\frac{1 - \cos\beta}{2} \sin\beta$	$\frac{1 + \cos\beta}{2} - \cos^2\beta$	$-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{8}} \sin(2\beta)$	$\cos^2\beta - \frac{1 - \cos\beta}{2}$	$\frac{1 + \cos\beta}{2} \sin\beta$
+2	$\left(\frac{1 - \cos\beta}{2}\right)^2$	$-\frac{1 - \cos\beta}{2} \sin\beta$	$\frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{8}} \sin^2\beta$	$-\frac{1 + \cos\beta}{2} \sin\beta$	$\left(\frac{1 + \cos\beta}{2}\right)^2$

